INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/38955

	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC(7) : A43B 13/18, 23/28					
US CL	: 36/58.5, 27, 28, 35R, 37, 136, 144	in and alongification and TDC			
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nat	ional classification and IPC			
	DS SEARCHED				
Minimum do	cumentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)			
11 5 · 34	5/58.5, 27, 28, 35R, 37, 136, 144, 142, 143, 71, 43, 4	4, 105			
. 0.5 50	3/30/3, 27, 20, 3024, 57, 100, 574, 577, 577,				
Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	n the fields searched		
NONE	•				
Electronic dat	ta base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where practicable, sear	rch terms used)		
NONE					
TO DE DEVENTA					
	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	ista of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap		3		
Х	US 220,200 A (WHITTIER) 30 September 1879, see	whose reserence.	,		
		hala wafaranaa	1		
Y	DE 3,935,714 A1 (RULLIER ET AL) 03 May 1990,	see whole reference.	•		
			2		
Y	US 4,187,620 A (SELNER) 12 February 1980, see whole reference.		~		
		formers.	2		
Y	US 5,651,196 A (HSIEH) 29 July 1997, see whole re	rerence.	-		
		of and whole reference	2		
Y	US 5,437,111 A (KOUSAKA ET AL) 01 August 1995, see whole reference.		~		
		O see whole reference	2		
Y	US 4,813,157 A (BOISVERT ET AL) 21 March 198	9, see whole reference.	~		
'					
			ļ l		
Further	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
		"T" later document published after the inte	ernational filing date or priority		
	Special categories of cited documents:	date and not in conflict with the appli-	cation but cited to understand the		
"A" documen	nt defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or theory underlying the inv	ention		
of partic	ular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be		
"E" earlier a	pplication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered novel or cannot be considered	ered to involve an inventive step		
		when the document is taken alone			
"L" documer	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be		
specified		considered to involve an inventive sta	ep when the document is		
i -		combined with one or more other sud being obvious to a person skilled in t	in documents, such comoniation he art		
"O" docume	nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	-			
"P" docume	nt published prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&" document member of the same paten	t family		
	date claimed				
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report			
0 1 ADD 2002			103		
18 March 2003 (18.03.2003)		Authorized officer	1. O. 11		
Name and n	nailing address of the ISA/US	She She	HAH. Veney Jenry		
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT		Marie Patterson Para	legal Specialist		
	ashington, D.C. 20231		L. Center 3700		
	Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. 703-308-1148 Tech. Center 3700				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/38955

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)				
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
1. Claim Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:				
Claim Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:				
Claim Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).				
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Continuation Sheet				
 As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 				
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				

	PCT/US02/38955				
INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT					
INTERNATIONAL COLLECTION COLLECTION					
	•				
•					
TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	TKING				
BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING These species are deemed to lack unity of					
This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of					
invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive conce	ept didet i Ci Raio 13.1.				
In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional exan					
In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional exam	minimient rece trees on bares. The absence are an investigation				
man and man and the street of					
Species I: The embodiment of claim 1.					
Species II: The embodiment of claim 2.					
Species III: The embodiment of claim 3.					
The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under F	PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the				
a "special technical feature" since a special technical feature is defined as incaming which each claimed invention, considered as a whole, defines over the prior art.	Since the spring heel cushion is already known in the				
art (see prior art cited), it cannot be considered to be a special technical feature.					
art (see prior art clied), it cannot be considered to be a special resistant					
·					
ì					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)